Site Code Bog tyj Mainland sites Code Bog tyj Clayton Bog CB Kettlet Otis Bog OB Pond n Hawley Bog HAW Stream Round Pond Bog RP Pond n Arcadia Bog ARC Kettel				Ecore	egion					
Mainland sites Clayton Bog CB Kettlef Clayton Bog CB Fond n Pond n Dtis Bog OB Pond n HAW Stream Hawley Bog HAW Stream Round Pond Bog RP Pond n Round Pond Bog RP ARC Kettlef Arctedia Pond n	s type	Area	Elevation	TNC	NHESP	Ηd	PO_4 -P	NH₄-N	Ca^{2+}	Sarracenia
Clayton Bog CB Kettleh Otis Bog OB Pond n Hawley Bog HAW Stream Round Pond Bog RP Pond n Arcadia Bog ARC Kettleh Arcadia Bog ARC Kettleh										
Otis Bog OB Pond n Hawley Bog HAW Stream Round Pond Bog RP Pond n Arcadia Bog ARC Kettel	tlehole	73120	210	221Ae	58b	3.90	1.03	0.71	8.36	0.64
Hawley Bog HAW Stream Round Pond Bog RP Pond n Arcadia Bog ARC Kettel	id margin	89208	491	M212Cc	58d	3.61	0.03	0.36	13.30	14.08
Round Pond Bog RP Pond n Arcadia Bog ARC Kettlel curite Diraction of Control Pond n	cam headwaters	36813	543	M212Cc	58c	5.32	0.38	0.19	22.50	7.52
Arcadia Bog ARC Kettler	id margin	10511	78	221Af	59a	4.25	0.05	1.47	11.20	0.48
Curit Dina Dea	tlehole	1190	95	221Af	59b	3.80	0.04	0.17	1.43	1.28
SWIII KIVEI DOG SWK NEILUEI	tlehole	19699	121	221Af	59b	3.76	0.05	0.24	1.90	4.16
Bourne?Hadley Bog BH Valley	ley bottom	105369	274	M212Bd	58g	6.56	0.26	0.74	14.40	8.96
Lake Jones Bog WIN Stream	cam headwaters	84235	323	M212Bd	58g	4.49	0.40	0.19	4.24	8.16
Quag Pond Bog QP Pond n	d margin	40447	335	M212Bd	58g	3.75	1.76	0.16	9.85	3.52
Chockalog Pond Bog CKB Pond n	id margin	7422	152	221Ag	59c	3.39	0.05	0.85	23.00	*0
Ponkapoag Bog PK Pond n	id margin	491189	47	221Aj	59c	3.57	0.07	0.21	6.80	0.8
Halls Brook Cedar Swamp HBC Stream	am headwaters	11760	8	221Ac	59e	4.11	0.07	0.20	13.00	5.44
Cape Cod site										
Shankpainter Ponds SKP Valley	ley bottom	55152	1	221Ab	59f	3.57	0.06	0.28	11.7	4.64
Island sites										
Arethusa Bog AB Stream	samside	2598	5	221Ab	59f	4.21	0.03	0.21	4.01	0^{\ddagger}
Cranberry Bog VOLF Kettlet	tlehole	88427	29	221Ab	59f	4.47	0.27	0.85	7.92	0^{\ddagger}
Schmitt Bog SCH Stream	amside	533	17	221Ab	59f	4.69	0.39	0.39	10.22	2.72‡
Taupshwa Bog TAB Kettleł	tlehole	16689	9	221Ab	59f	3.92	0.06	0.18	3.68	$^{\downarrow 0}$
Donut Pond Bog DON Kettlet	tlehole	8740	7	221Ab	59f	3.88	0.06	0.41	4.51	8.48

 \ast Sarracenia only grew on the margins of Chockalog Pond Bog, not in the ant collection area. $^{\uparrow}$ No Sarracenia

270

Table 1. Physical and geographic characteristics of bogs sampled for ant diversity. Code is used elsewhere to abbreviate bog names; bog type follows Kearsley (1999); area of the bog mat

Northeastern Naturalist

Vol. 9, No. 3

scanned and digitized USGS-EROS³ photographic prints. Aerial photographs were used to construct a set of shape files in a geographic information system (using Arc-View GIS 3.2, ESRI, Redlands, CA), from which we calculated bog area. The geographic distribution of our samples is shown in Figure 1, and additional site characteristics are given in Table 1.

Ant Collection

We used standard methods for collection of ants: pitfall traps, tuna fish baits, and vegetation inspection sampling (Anderson 1997, Bestelmeyer et al. 2000, Gotelli and Arnett 2000, Wang et al. 2001). In the center of the bog mat at each bog, we established a 5 x 5 grid of 25 pitfall traps spaced 2 m apart (total sample area = 64 m^2). Each pitfall trap consisted of a flagged 95 mm diameter plastic cup, filled with 20 mm of dilute soapy water, and buried so that the upper lip of each trap was flush with the surface of the Sphagnum. Traps were set during dry weather and left in place for 48 hours. At the end of this sampling period, the trap contents were collected and fixed in the field in 95% EtOH.

At each mainland site, two complete ant surveys of each grid at each bog were conducted, separated by approximately 42 days. The replicate survey was conducted to determine if there were any temporal differences in ant composition at the sites. These surveys were carried out between 2 June 1999 and 29 August 1999. Because we



Figure 1. Map of Massachusetts illustrating locations of the 18 bogs sampled during the summers of 1999 and 2000. Abbreviations for site names are given in Table 1. Size and shading of symbols are proportional to species richness at each site. Total species richness at each site is given in Figure 2.

Northeastern Naturalist

observed no systematic differences in ant faunas sampled on different dates at a given mainland site, we sampled the island sites only once (27—31 July 2000).

After each pitfall trap survey was completed, we removed the traps, refilled the holes with peat, and set out a tuna fish bait station consisting

both early and late emerging plants. We also counted the number of pitcher plants, in order to test the hypothesis that pitcher-plant density affects ant species composition through selective predation.

Nutrient Availability

After sampling vegetation we collected five pore-water samples at randomly chosen locations within each 64 m² sampling area. Fifty-ml plastic centrifuge tubes were pushed into the Sphagnum surface and they filled with water within 15 seconds. Samples were kept on ice in a cooler and returned to the lab within 48 hours for analysis. Concentrations of ammonium (NH₄-N) and phosphate (PO₄

regression (S-Plus version 6.0, Insightful Corp., Seattle, WA). We used this method to determine which independent variable or variables explained a significant component of the variation in total species richness of ants (\log_{10} -transformed). Prior to entering variables into the stepwise regression procedure, the independent variables were screened for multicollinearity, the linear correlations among the predictor variables (Montgomery and Peck 1982). Only seven uncorrelated variables (latitude, longitude, $\log_{10}(\log area)$, \log -transformed concentrations of ammonium and phosphorus, and species richness of trees, shrubs, and graminoids) were entered into the stepwise regression model. Model selection was done using Efroymson's method (Montgomery and Peck 1982), and the best-fit model was determined by minimizing residual sums of squares and Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) (Burnham and Anderson 1998).

RESULTS

Species Distribution Patterns

Distribution. We identified 26 species among the 7,864 individual ants collected in 1999 and 2000 (Fig. 2). The most common species encountered, at 17 of the 18 bogs, was the bog specialist Myrmica lobifrons. This species ranges throughout northern North America, where it nests in Sphagnum (Francouer 1997), but our records of M. lobifrons are the first for Massachusetts (pers. comm. A. Francouer).

Two of the three species of Leptothorax that we collected, L. ambiguus andL. curvispinosis, are not listed in modern records from Massachusetts in MacKay (2000), although older papers report their occurrence there (Alloway 1980; Sturtevant 1925, 1931). Leptothorax ambiguus nests predominantly in wetlands (MacKay 2000). Leptothorax curvispinosus reaches the northern limit of its range in Massachusetts (MacKay 2000). We also encountered two boreal species, Camponotus herculeanus and Formica neorufibarbis, near the southern limit of their ranges. Three of the species collected on Nantucket, Myrmica lobifrons, M. sculptilis, and Aphenogaster rudis, are new records for that island (cf. Johnson 1930).

Figure 2. Maximally-packed (sensu Atmar and Patterson 1995) ant presenceabsence matrix illustrating nested subset structure. Shading indicates the species is present. Orientation of this figure is 90° different from a normal nestedness diagram, which has species entered in columns and sites entered in rows. Abbreviations for sites as in Table 1. The Cape Cod site is indicated by a singlelined box, and the Islands sites are indicated by double-lined boxes. Nomenclature for Myrmica follows André Francoeur's unpublished revision of the genus (pers. comm. A. Francoeur). An asterisk (*) indicates a forest-ant species.

	=
	_
4	
æ.	
	4
	=
	=
	_
	age.
	s page.
	ous page.
	evious page.
	previous page.
	on previous page.
	nd on previous page.
	send on previous page.
	Legend on previous page.
	2. Legend on previous page.
	ure 2. Legend on previous page.
	iture 2. Legend on previous page.

Northeastern Naturalist

Vol. 9, No. 3

tion, or bog mat area; P > 0.15, all variables). This result also rules out one possible cause of the nested subset pattern described above: differential extinction of species caused by available area. Differential extinction through other causes (e.g., habitat fragmentation) could still have occurred. The lack of correlation of ant species richness with geographic variables is also consistent with the hypothesis that observed patterns of ant distribution in these bogs followed from different colonization histories of the bogs by the different taxa.

Vegetation. Across all the bogs, we identified 78 plant species distributed among trees (7 species), shrubs (15 species), forbs (41 species), and graminoids (15 species). Ant species richness was not associated (P > 0.30) with total plant species richness or with species richness of any of the functional groups except for species richness of trees. Ant species richness was positively correlated with number of tree species in the plot ($\mathbf{r} = 0.53$, $\mathbf{P} = 0.02$; Fig. 3). Red maple (Acer rubrum L.) seedlings or saplings were present in 14 of the 18 bogs. Other tree species included: white pine (Pinus strobus L.), pitch pine (Pinus rigida Miller), black spruce (Picea mariana [Miller] BSP), Atlantic white cedar (Chamaecyparis thyoides [L.] BSP), tamarack (Larix laricina [Duroi] K. Koch), and gray birch (Betula populifolia Marsh). All of these species were present only as seedlings or small saplings except at Halls Brook Cedar SA.B 0.0910bCr smegu467 1pj0.32t0w(ssl4Tj-26.7627Tsee tified 66 T

2002

predictive equation was:

 $\log_{10}(antS) = 0.72 + 0.47 \text{ x} \log_{10}(treeS) + 0.31 \text{ x} \log_{10}[NH4]$

where antS is the number of ant species in each bog, treeS is the number of tree species in each bog, and $[NH_4]$ is the concentration of ammonium in the pore water. This model explained 46% of the variation among bogs in ant species richness, and was significant at P = 0.01.

DISCUSSION

This study, the first comprehensive survey of the ants of Massachusetts bogs, is notable for several reasons. First, we have expanded the state list of ants by one species, and added three additional species to the list of those documented on Nantucket. Areas identified in BioMap

> Figure 3. Associations between ant species richness and pore-water ammonium (top panel), and tree species richness (bottom panel). The lines are best-fit linFigure 82t03 0 TDe

278

vegetation (Bestelmeyer and Wiens 2001, Gotelli and Ellison 2002b, Morrison 1998) and density of trees (Weseloh 1995). In Massachusetts bogs, ant species richness increased with increasing diversity of tree seedlings and saplings, which provides a new layer of vegetational structure in these otherwise non-forested bogs. In upland habitats, ant species richness increases with several measures of productivity (e.g., Kaspari et al. 2000, Majer 1983). In Massachusetts bogs, ant species richness is associated with availability of NH_4 , and nitrogen is the primary nutrient limiting vegetation productivity in bogs (Bedford et al. 1999). This is one of the first indications that ant species richness responds to nutrient availability per se, rather than to indirect measures of nutrients such as productivity or composition of vegetation that are associated with increased nutrients.

Pitcher-plant bogs are unique habitats scattered across the New England landscape, and unlike their counterparts in Canada and Scandinavia, they have not yet been heavily mined for peat or drained for forestry. While they are well-known for their unique assemblages of carnivorous plants, this study shows that bogs also should be protected for their distinctive ant communities. Ants are also known to be good indicators for the diversity of other invertebrate species (Alonso 2000, Lawton et al. 1998). Further inventories of ants in other habitats in Massachusetts and throughout New England could suggest additional focal areas for conservation and protection.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project could not have been completed without the financial support and logistical help provided by the Massachusetts Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (MA-NHESP). Extensive discussions with Jennifer Kearsley (MA-NHESP), Tim Simmons (MA-NHESP) and Ernie Steinauer (Massachusetts Audobon Society) led us to the bogs. Access to sites on the mainland and Cape Cod was permitted by Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife, the Massachusetts chapter of The Nature Conservancy, Five Colleges, Inc., and the Hathaway family. Permission to access sites on Martha's Vineyard was given by the Trustees of Reservations, the Schmitt family, the Kennedy family, and the Vineyard Open Land Foundation. Permission to access sites on Nantucket was given by the Nantucket Conservation Foundation. We thank the Goldstein family for housing us on Martha's Vineyard, and the University of Massachusetts Nantucket Field Station for housing on Nantucket. Rebecca Emerson, Kirsten McKnight, and Samantha Williams helped collect ants in 1999, and Stefan Cover and André Francoeur confirmed our identifications. Jon Caris (Mount Holyoke Geoprocessing Laboratory) assisted with the building of the GIS database. This research was supported by contract MAHERSW99-17 and additional miscellaneous funds in FY 2000 from the Massachusetts Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program, and by grant DEB 98-05722 from the US National Science Foundation.

LITERATURE CITED

- ALLOWAY, T.M. 1980. The origins of slavery in leptothoracine ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). American Naturalist 115:247–261.
- ALONSO, L.E. 2000. Ants as indicators of diversity. Pp. 80—88, In D. Agosti, J.D. Majer, L.E. Alonso, and T.R. Schultz (Eds.). Ants: Standard Methods for Measuring and Monitoring Biodiversity. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC. 248 pp.
- ALONSO, L.E., and D. AGOSTI. 2000. Biodiversity studies, monitoring, and ants: an overview. Pp. 1—8, In D. Agosti, J.D. Majer, L.E. Alonso, and T.R. Schultz (Eds.). Ants: Standard Methods for Measuring and Monitoring Biodiversity. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC. 248 pp.
- ANDERSEN, A.N. 1997. Functional groups and patterns of organization in North American ant communities: a comparison with Australia. Journal of Biogeography 24:433—460.
- APHA. 1985. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC. 1268 pp.
- ATMAR, W., and B.D. PATTERSON. 1993. The measure of order and disorder in the distribution of species in fragmented habitat. Oecologia 96:373—382.
- ATMAR, W., and B.D. PATTERSON. 1995. The Nestedness Temperature Calculator: A Visual Basic Program, Including 294 Presence-Absence Matrices. AICS Research Inc., University Park, NM.
- BEDFORD, B.L., M.R. WALBRIDGE, and A. ALDOUS. 1999. Patterns of nutrient availability and plant diversity of temperate North American wetlands. Ecology 80:2151—2169.
- BESTELMEYER, B.T., and J.A. WIENS. 2001. Ant biodiversity in semiarid landscape mosaics: the consequences of grazing vs. natural heterogeneity. Ecological Applications 11:1123—1140.
- BESTELMEYER, B.T., D. AGOSTI, L.A. ALONSO, C.R.F. BRANDÃO, W.L. BROWN, JR., J.H.C. DELABIE, and R. SILVESTRE. 2000. Field techniques for the study of ground-dwelling ants: an overview, description, and evaluation. Pp. 122—144, In D. Agosti, J.D. Majer, L.E. Alonso, and T.R. Schultz (Eds.). Ants: Standard Methods for Measuring and Monitoring Biodiversity. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC. 248 pp.
- BURNHAM, K.P., and D.R. ANDERSON. 1998. Model Selection and Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY. 353 pp.
- CREIGHTON, W.S. 1950. Ants of North America. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University 104: 1—568.
- CRESSWELL, J. 1991. Capture rates and composition of insect prey of the pitcher plant Sarracenia purpurea. American Midland Naturalist 125:1—8.
- FEENER, D.H. Jr., and E.W. SCHUPP. 1998. Effects of treefall gaps on the patchiness and species richness of neotropical ant assemblages. Oecologia 116:191-201.
- FOLGARAIT, P.J. 1998. Ant biodiversity and its relationship to ecosystem functioning: a review. Biodiversity and Conservation 7:1221–1244.
- FRANCOEUR, A. 1986. Leptothorax retractus et Leptothorax sphagnicolus, deux nouvelles fourmis néarctiques (Formicidae, Hymenoptera). Canadian Entomologist 118:1151—1164.

- FRANCOEUR, A. 1997. Ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) of the Yukon. Pp. 901—910, In H.V. Danks and J.A. Downes (Eds.). Insects of the Yukon. Biological Survey of Canada (Terrestrial Arthopods), Ottawa, ON, Canada. 1034 pp.
- FRANCOEUR, A., and D. PEPIN. 1975. Productivité de la fourmi Formica dakotensis dans le pessière tourbeuse. 1. Densité observée et densité estimée

- TAYLOR, R.J. 1987b. The geometry of colonization: 2. Peninsulas. Oikos 48:232-237.
- VESPALAINEN, K., R. SAVOLAINEN, J. TIAINEN, and J. VILEN. 2000. Successional changes of ant assemblages: from virgin and ditched bogs to forests. Annales Zoologici Fennici 37:135—149.
- WANG, C.L., J. STRAZANAC, and L. BUTLER. 2001. A comparison of pitfall traps with bait traps for studying leaf litter ant communities. Journal of Economic Entomology 94:761—765.
- WESELOH, R.M. 1995. Forest characteristics associated with abundance of foraging ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in Connecticut. Environmental Entomology 24:1453-1457.
- WRIGHT, D.H., B.D. PATTERSON, G.M. MIKKELSON, A. CUTLER, and W. ATMAR. 1998. A comparative analysis of nested subset patterns of species composition. Oecologia 113:1—20.