

TO: Rosemary Dale, Co-Chair, Educational Stewardship Committee

Brian Reed, Co-Chair, Educational Stewardship Committee

FROM: David V. Rosowsky, Provost and Senior Vice President

DATE: April 10, 2018 (revised)

SUBJ: Report on Larner College of Medicine (LCOM) curricular concerns

I am summarizing below each of the issues taken up by the Committee and the specific findings and recommendations. My responses are shown in blue.

MMG 201 Concerns are resolved by actions taken by the LCOM dean. No further action needed. ESC will continue to monitor.

High Quality, High Cost Laboratory Cours Esse ESC is urging academic units to consider what is best for the students when making decisions regarding laboratory course offerings.

Please share how ESC is communicating this to academic units.

Curricular Oversight Mechanisms in LCOM:K H ($6 \& \P$ VmdDtVsVhHt Vrocesses are now in place that are sound and well-conceived.

I am pleased that LCOM has established curricular oversight processes, but I also recognize that LCOM is still building its understanding of owndergraduate curriculum. For thiseason, in an email dated March 2d of this year, I asked that ESC to be part of the formal review process for all LCOM undergraduate courses. The Associate Provost for Teaching and Leisrneissonsible for coordinating this oversight another reviewing and approvingall LCOM undergraduate course proposals in concert with the ESC.

/&20¶V &XUUHQW ,QYROYHPHQW LQ 8QGHUJUDGXDWH &XUULF

The IBB Steering Committee, past of its work over the neand the resulting revenue distribution. I feel odent that the	ext year, is specifically looking at Algorithm 1 his issue wifeature in those discussions.