

Introduction and Background

NAMP Objectives

The Vermont objectives of the project are to:

1. Determine the rate of change in sugar maple tree-condition ratings from 1988 through 2001.
 2. Determine if the rate of change in sugar maple tree-condition ratings is different among sugarbush and non-sugarbushes.
3. Determine the possible causes of sugar maple decline and the geographical relationship between causes and extent of decline.

General Approach

The NAMP project began in the summer of 1988 with the development and testing of field methods. In 1988-1991, plots were established across eastern North America from Ontario and Wisconsin in the West and to Maine and Nova Scotia in the east. The North American Sugar Maple Decline (project: Organization and Methods 1991) provides background on the start of the project. The original field methods used for plot establishment are in the Cooperative Field Manual dated February 1, 1988 as revised July 6, 1988. Subsequent clarifications and changes were made during the project: review at Montreal in 1989. & field minor clarifications were added in 1990 and 1991. In 2001,

with sugarbushes, such as logging or grazing, were
accepted. 14ode 12

% on-sugarbushes - & hardwood stand # with sugar maple,
1 cm d.b.h. and larger comprising more than half of the
upper canopy. The stand could not have evidence of
disturbance in the previous 6 years before establishment.

d. /oil series--Docal soils scientists or recentl6 published soil surve6s #ere consulted to obtain the soil series.

The terminolog6 used b6 the %orth &merican /ugar ' aple Decline (roject is the same as that used b6 the other %& (& (5orest : esponse (rogram projects lEeda3er and %icholas 199 2. %ine categories are used l5igure ,2:

a. Dandform l5ig. "a28 coded into 8 descriptions:

- 1- ridgetop lprimar6 ridge of a mountain s6stem2
- 2- spur ridge lsecondar6 or lateral ridge from primar6 ridge2
- "- noseslope ldiverging drainage at end of ridge2
- ; - headslope lconvergent drainage above cove2
- , - sideslope lparallel drainage along side of ridge2
- !- cove ldeep+ narrow# depression in the slope or bo#l #ith one end open2
-)- dra# ldepression open on both ends but bounded b6 steep sideslopes or noseslopes.
- 8- flat lthe entire area t6picall6 is flat2

b. /lope position l5ig. "b28 coded into) t6pes of slopes+ as follo#s:

- 1- summit lhighest point of landform2
 - 2- shoulder ltransitional 0one bet#een summit and bac3slope8 the slope is al#a6s conve\$ and has the greatest erosion loss on a mountain2
- "6bac3slope l@dp#ortion of land#as+conve\$ of @Ö 2
concaeshou 1 aae3

d. Tapping is rated in four classes:

1 - currentl6 active

2

"ecanium %cale Population %urve*

Starting in 2005, visual estimates are made of scale populations on understory and lower branches of sugar maple using the abundance rating system listed below. Ten

2. Enter the crown outline on the grid so that the entire middle square is within the crown perimeter, but none of the crown is outside the margins of the grid. This is done by moving the grid closer or farther from the tree. After the crown is centered, do not change the distance while the crown and damage are being outlined.

3. Draw the outline of the entire tree crown by connecting the tips of major branches and branch clusters, that is, draw a curve of the lines from branch tip to branch tip to avoid creating large open spaces between branches on the perimeter of the crown. When outer portions of branches are dead, draw a line between terminals of dead tines in order to obtain the crown outline. A very large hole in the crown, such as that caused by broken branches, should be excluded.

4. Trace the outline of the damaged portion of the crown within the outline produced in step 3.

5. Determine the number of dots or squares encompassed by the hole crown and the damaged portion separately.

6. Divide the smaller number (damaged area) by the larger number (entire crown) and multiply by 100 to get the percentage of crown damaged. Record the damage in one



8,	81-8,)1-9,
9	8!-9)!-1
9,	91-9,	81-1
99	9!-1	8!-1

dead branch tip, at least 1 cm long; in the upper portion of the tree crown is rated as the lowest class with dieback in the 10-percent class. When dead twigs are scattered throughout the crown, an estimate is made of the approximate proportion of foliage lost from the dead twigs, which is then recorded as the dieback percentage.

In addition to normal dieback, extensive branch mortality including snag branches that might be affecting tree growth will be recorded in the notes. The extent of the crown lost will be recorded in the same 10-percent classes.

Foliage Transparency* 1&II hard#oods2

Foliage transparency is determined by estimating the amount of sunlight visible through the foliated portions of branches and averaged for the crown as a whole. It includes normal tree characteristics of foliage density as well as reduced foliage density resulting from insect damage, disease, or environmental stresses. Areas included in dieback are not rated for foliage transparency. It is assumed that an increase of foliage transparency over time indicates reduced tree vigor that eventually may lead to branch dieback. Recovery is expected from short periods of defoliation events. Two certified raters are required to make the transparency estimates from opposite sides of the tree. The 21-class rating system will be used to estimate foliage transparency (Table 12). Foliage transparency is a critical measurement that requires extensive training to achieve standardization among observers and consistency among years.

Foliage Transparency* grid

The Foliage Transparency Grid (Fig. 1) is a visual presentation of varying proportions of black and white squares. The black areas represent the foliated portion of the crown, while the white areas represent the sunlight visible through the crown. The percentage class is shown beneath the square. The Foliage Transparency Grid is used as a training aid. Comparisons are made between the grid and foliated portions of the branches on the periphery of the crown as well as in the midcrown areas.

Foliage Transparency Standards (Fig. 12)

The Foliage Transparency Standards (Fig. 12) are used to standardize foliage transparency estimates among observers and to provide a reference guide for subsequent years. These are photographs of actual sugar maple crowns showing the amount of sunlight visible through the crown.

139sie

" - more than 1 percent defoliation.

The causal agent, if identifiable, is recorded in the notes section. No other tree condition ratings are made during the spring defoliation visit.

Occasionally, late season defoliation may occur for example, saddled prominent. When the potential for this is detected during the scheduled crown rating visit, a return visit to more accurately rate the degree of defoliation is encouraged.

Seed Production Sugar maple only

Excessive seed production is believed to weaken a tree and result in increased dieback the following year. Therefore, it was agreed by cooperators in 1991 to have seed abundance recorded as follows:

1. No seed is visible with binoculars
2. Light to moderate seed present? BT % - T abundant enough to cause noticeable discoloration in the upper crown
3. Several branches in the upper crown with reddish-brown cast in mid- to late summer as a result of color change of samara from green to reddish-brown

MANAGEMENT AND DATA COLLECTION

EMBRYO

Data Collection and Transmission

Standard field forms are used to record data 15igs. ;+ 12 in the field. Previous years data are carried forward for the first 5 items on the form. Indelible ball point pens are used to permit photocopying and prevent erasures. Changes are initialed and dated by the person making the change. When data must be transcribed because of damage to the original data sheets, another person checks the transcript, initials, and dates each page. The original data sheet is attached to the transcript. In the field, the recommended practice for the recorder is to repeat measurements audibly before data are recorded. Absence of an item is recorded as K K to indicate that a measurement or an observation was made. Absence of data sheet is attached to is considered as missing data

defoliated foliage and presence of epicormic shoots. Because of poor remeasurement precision for epicormic shoots and defoliated foliage, these measurements were deleted from subsequent annual measurements. Foliage discoloration measurements were down-graded to non-critical measurements and their quality is not checked for compliance with minimum standards. In 1989, a new measurement was added to assess the degree of insect defoliation. It is not considered a critical measurement and is not checked for repeatability precision. Also in 1989, crown ratings of hardwoods other than sugar maple were added, but these are not checked for compliance with minimum standards. In 1991, vigor ratings were added to the critical measurements.

Data quality requirements for the critical measurements were outlined at the beginning of the project. Acceptable variability between raters for a sample, tolerance limits,

"I#E&A#)&E (I#E

&ddison+ (.&.8 :ennie+ (.7. 1988. The 4anadian 5orestr6
/ervice air pollution program and bibliograph6.
*nformation : eport D.(.4-O-2!. - tta#a+ -%: 4anadian
5orestr6 /ervice+ 5orest /cience Directorate. 1"" p.

&llen+ D.4.8 ?arnett+ 4.7. 1989. /ummar6 (rogress : eport
of Data ' anagement and &nal6sis for the %orth &merican
/ugar ' aple Decline (roject l(resented b6 /tate and
(rovince+ 22 p. ea.2. /tate Bniversit6 of %e# @or3 4ollege
of <nvironmental /cience and 5orestr6. /6racuse+ %.@.

University of Vermont, Agricultural Experiment Station, Department of Agriculture and Forestry. Vol. 1, p.

Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources. 1981. Forest Management (June 1981, Middlebrook, Pa.: Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources, Bureau of Forest Division of Forest Management, p.

U.S. 1981. Forest pest conditions report for the Northeast Area - 1981. Forest Management (Bureau of Agriculture, Forest Service, State and Private Forestry, Northeast Area, p. Forest Service, 1981, a. Forest Service, Forest Management, edition 4, p. 129.

Forest Service, 1981, b. Forest Service, Forest Management, edition 4, p. 9.

Johnson, D.D. 1981. Forest Management (Forest Service, Forest Management, edition 4, p. 129).

Bureau of Agriculture. 1982. Forest insect and disease conditions in the United States 1981. Forest Service, 1 p.

Bureau of Agriculture. 1982. Forest insect and disease conditions in the United States 1982. Forest Service, 1 p.

Bureau of Agriculture. 1983. Field instructions for Southern England. Forest Service, Northeast Forest Experiment Station, Forest Management and Analysis Unit. 129 p.

Eddinger, Nicholas. 1991. Quality assurance methods manual for forest site classification and field