# **Control of Nitrogen Loss from Forested Watersheds by Soil Carbon:Nitrogen Ratio and Tree Species Composition**

 $G_{\alpha_1}$   $\cdots$ ,  $1^*$  and  $\cdots$  C. We arthur  $\cdots$ , A. Arthur<sup>2</sup>

 $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac$ *Lexington, Kentucky 40546, USA*

## **ABSTRACT**

Leaching losses of nitrate from forests can have potentially serious consequences for soils and receiving waters. In this study, based on extensive sampling of forested watersheds in the Catskill454.8(and)Mc2ll4Dy1pr.51.1(of)-51.1(orshs)-51.1orshs rshsrshsrshsrs relationships among stream chemistry, the properties of the forest floor, and the tree species composition of watersheds. We report the first evidence from North America that nitrate export from forested watersheds is strongly influenced by the carbon:nitrogen (C:N) ratio of the watershed soils. We also show that variation in soil C:N ratio is associ-

regulates litter decomposition and the accumulation of carbon (C) and N in soil organic matter. Previous studies in European forests have shown that soil C:N ratio is inversely related to forest  $\mathrm{NO_3}^$ leaching, after taking into account differing atmospheric deposition regimes (Gunderson and others 1998; Dise and others 1998; Emmett and others 1998); however, these studies were done primarily in coniferous forests, some of which receive very high rates of atmospheric N deposition (up to 80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>y<sup>-1</sup>). In contrast, most of the mid-Atlantic and northeastern United States is dominated by deciduous forests that receive low or moderate levels of N deposition (less than 15 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>y<sup>-1</sup>).

In the Catskill Mountains of southeastern New York State, our survey of 39 streams draining small, forested watersheds showed a 17-fold range of  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  concentration (Lovett and others 2000). All of these watersheds are vegetated almost entirely by unmanaged forest, so the variation in  $NO_3$ <sup>-</sup> concentration was not a result of differences in current land use. Dissolved organic N concentrations were much less variable and ammonium concentrations were very low, so  $NO_3^-$  explained more than 96% of the variation in total dissolved N concentration among streams. Because water discharge per unit of watershed area is relatively constant within this area, variation in mean N concentration in stream water is a good index of variation in N export among watersheds (Lovett and others 2000). Our estimates of N input and export from these watersheds indicate that N retention (deposition minus export) ranges from about 49% to 90% of the atmospheric N deposition (Lovett and others 2000). Previous work indicated that variation in stream water N concentration among watersheds in this area was probably not due to variation in atmospheric deposition, topography, in-stream N retention, or groundwater input to streams (Lovett and others 2000; West and others 2001). In this study, we examine the relationship between stream water concentration in Catskill streams and characteristics of watershed soils and forests.

## **SITE AND METHODS**

 $A_{\cdot}$ 

Our research took place in the Catskill Mountains, an area of about  $5000 \text{ km}^2$  with several ranges of mountains (peak elevations, 1100–1274 m) separated by deeply incised valleys and underlain by shales and sandstones of Devonian age (Stoddard and Murdoch 1991). The climate is moist and cool, with a mean annual temperature of 4.3°C and mean annual precipitation of 153 cm at a station located at 808 m elevation near Slide Mountain in the central Catskills (Lovett and others 2000). Soils in the Catskills are predominantly acidic inceptisols, generally shallow, stony, and well-drained (Stoddard and Murdoch 1991; Lovett and others 2000). Atmospheric N deposition (wet  $+$  dry) is about 11 kg N  $ha^{-1}y^{-1}$  (Lovett and Rueth 1999). Forests are predominantly of the northern hardwood association dominated by sugar maple (Acer acchar m Marsh), American beech (*Fag grandifolia* Ehrh.)

ified random design such that stands were distributed among watersheds in proportion to the watershed area and within watersheds in proportion to the area in elevational zones. Trees greater than 10 cm dbh were measured in each plot, and a sample of organic horizon (Oe  $+$  Oa layers) soil was taken. Basal area (at breast height) was calculated by species assuming a circular bole cross section. The vegetation data from the five plots in a stand were averaged, and the five soil samples were composited before analysis of C and N concentration (on a Carlo-Erba NA 1500 element analyzer, Carlo Erba Strumetazione, Milan, Italy). This yielded a data set of 145 stands with mean vegetation and soil C:N data.

Forest history information for each stand was obtained using a Geographic Information System by plotting the location of each stand (measured with a global positioning system (GPS) unit in the field) on a digital version of the Catskill forest history map published by Kudish (2000). The forest history classifications used by Kudish are based on extensive field observations and searches of local land-use records. The forest history categories present in the watersheds that we studied were as follows: old growth (forest that has not been harvested or burned), second growth (forest that shows evidence of harvest), burned (forest with a confirmed record of forest fire), and pasture (forest that was formerly pastureland).

Statistical analysis was done with the SAS statistical package (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) using the procedures REG (for regression analysis), ANOVA (for analysis of variance) and GLM (for general linear model analysis). In the stepwise regression, variables were entered and removed at  $P = 0.15$ , and statistical significance was assessed at  $P < 0.05$ .

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Using stepwise regression, we found that the C:N ratio in organic horizon soils was the only significant predictor of mean annual stream  $NO_3$ <sup>-</sup> concentration among a suite of independent variables, including the basal area of all major tree species and topographic variables such as minimum and maximum elevation, slope, area, and stream length. Soil C:N explained 57% of the variance in mean annual stream  $NO_3^-$ , and the two variables were inversely related (Figure 1). Soil C:N was also the best singlevariable predictor of  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  concentration in both the summer (June–September) and winter (December–March) periods (*r* <sup>2</sup> values of 0.60 and 0.46, respectively). This result implies that organic soil



1. Mean stream  $\mathrm{NO_3}^-$  concentration versus mean C:N ratio in organic horizon of watershed soils. The points represent the 13 watersheds. The line is the best fit regression line (y =  $-4.741x + 100.81$ ,  $r^2 = 0.57$ , P = 0.0027)

C:N controls  $NO_3^-$  export and retention in these watersheds, or that some other factor controls both soil C:N and  $NO_3^-$  export. The former explanation is likely because a high soil C:N ratio produces a strong demand for N by heterotrophic soil microbes, leaving less N available for nitrification and subsequent  $NO_3^-$  leaching (VanMiegroet and others 1992; Riha and others 1986). Strong negative associations between soil C:N and nitrification rate have been observed in both hardwood and coniferous forests in the northeastern United States (McNulty and others 1991; Lovett and Rueth 1999; Goodale and Aber 2001; Ollinger and others 2002).

This is the first evidence, to our knowledge, of the relationship between stream  $\mathrm{NO_3}^-$  loss and soil C:N ratio in the forested watersheds of North America. This finding leads us to ask what controls soil C:N in the forested watersheds we studied. In our 13-watershed data set, the variable most strongly associated with mean soil C:N was mean basal area of sugar maple (inverse relationship,  $r^2 = 0.57$ , P = 0.003). However, a much more powerful analysis can be done on our stand-level data set  $(n = 145)$ , which includes vegetation, soil C:N, elevation, and forest history information for each stand we sampled. In this data set, the variables that explained the most variance in soil C:N (using stepwise linear regression) were the basal areas of sugar maple and red oak (Figure 2); variables of secondary importance were the basal areas of red maple (*Acer r br m*) and white ash (*Fra in americana*). These four vegetation variables were the only significant variables in the stepwise regression analysis; together they explained 40% of the variation in soil C:N ( $P < 0.0001$ ). The partial  $r^2$  for each variable

was: sugar maple, 0.22; red oak, 0.12; red maple, 0.03; and white ash, 0.02. All variables were significant at  $P < 0.05$ . Sugar maple and white ash basal areas were inversely related to C:N, whereas red

In some areas, watershed  $NO_3^-$  export has been related to geological sources of N from weathering of N-bearing sedimentary rocks (Holloway and others 1998). This additional source of N would presumably also influence the soil C:N ratio (Dahlgren 1994). However, the central Catskills area that was the site of this study has relatively homogeneous bedrock mineralogy (Stoddard and Murdoch 1991), although the N concentration of the rocks has not been reported. Moreover, in our stand-level data set, the range of stand C:N ratios within most watersheds was greater than the range of mean values among watersheds, suggesting variation on a scale smaller than would be expected from differences in bedrock geology but appropriate for the scale of tree species heterogeneity.

It has been argued that in-stream retention or processing of N is a major factor influencing stream  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  concentrations in a variety of ecosystems in North America (Peterson and others 2001). However, the Catskill headwater streams that we studied are steep, rocky, and frequently shaded by overhanging trees, conditions that tend to reduce instream N retention. There is a strong 1:1 dation (DEB 9981503), and the A. W. Mellon Foundation. We thank the many able research assistants at the Institute of Ecosystem Studies and the University of Kentucky for their help in the field and the laboratory, especially Jacob Griffin, Charles Schirmer, Rebecca Brown, and Jessica Hancock. We are grateful to Peter Groffman and Ross Fitzhugh for reviews of a draft of the manuscript. This is a publication of the Kentucky Agricultural Experiment Station (No. 002-09-111) and a contribution to the program of the Institute of Ecosystem Studies.

### REFERENCES

- Chapin FS III, Walker BH, Hobbs RJ, Hooper DU, Lawton JH, Sala OE, Tilman D. 1997. Biotic control over the functioning of ecosystems. Science 277:500–4.
- Christ MJ, Peterjohn WT, Cumming JR, Adams MB. 2002. Nitrification potentials and landscape, soil and vegetation characteristics in two central Appalachian watersheds differing in  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  export. For Eco Manage 159:145-58.
- Creed IF, Band LE. 1998. Export of nitrogen from catchments within a temperate forest: evidence for a unifying mechanism regulated by variable source area dynamics. Water Resources Res 34:3105–20.
- Dahlgren RA. 1994. Soil acidification and nitrogen saturation from weathering of ammonium-bearing rock. Nature 368: 838–41
- Dise NB, Wright RF. 1995. Nitrogen leaching from European forests in relation to nitrogen deposition. For Ecol Manage 71:153–61.
- Dise NB, Matzner E, Forsius M. 1998. Evaluation of organic horizon C:N ratio as an indicator of nitrate leaching in conifer forests across Europe. Environ Pollut 102:453–6.
- Driscoll CT, Lawrence GB, Bulger AJ, Butler TJ, Cronan CS, Eagar C, Lambert KF, Likens GE, Stoddard JL, Weathers KC. 2001. Acidic deposition in the Northeastern United States: sources and inputs, ecosystem effects, and management strategies. BioScience 51:180–98.
- Emmett BA, Boxman M, Bredemeier M, Gundersen P, Kjoonaas OJ, Moldan F, Schleppi P, Tietema A, Wright RF. 1998. Predicting the effects of atmospheric nitrogen deposition on conifer stands: evidence from the NITREX ecosystem-scale experiments. Ecosystems 1:352–60.
- Fenn ME, Poth MA, Aber JD, Baron JS, Bormann BT, Johnson DW, Lemly AD, McNulty SG, Ryan DF, Stottlemeyer R. 1998. Nitrogen excess in North American ecosystems: predisposing factors, ecosystem responses and management strategies. Ecol Appl 8:706–33.
- Finzi AC, Van Breemen N, Canham CC. 1998. Canopy tree–soil interactions within temperate forests: species effects on soil carbon and nitrogen. Ecol Appl 8:440–6.
- Goodale CL, Aber JD. 2001. The long-term effects of land-use history on nitrogen cycling in northern hardwood forests. Ecol Appl 11:253–67.
- Goodale CL, Aber JD, McDowell WH. 2000. The long-term effects of disturbance on organic and inorganic nitrogen export in the White Mountains, New Hampshire. Ecosystems 3:433– 50.

Gundersen P, Callensen I, deVries W. 1998. Leaching in forest

ecosystems is related to forest floor C/N ratios. Environ Pollu 102(S1):403–7.

- Hattenschwiler S, Vitousek P. 2000. The role of polyphenols in terrestrial ecosystem nutrient cycling. Trends Ecol Evol 15: 238–43.
- Hobbie SE. 1992. Effects of plant species on nutrient cycling. Trends Ecol Evol 7:336–9.
- Holloway JM, Dahlgren RA, Hansen B, Casey WH. 1998. Contribution of bedrock nitrogen to high nitrate concentrations in stream water. Nature 395:785–8.
- Iverson LR, Prasad AM. 1998. Predicting abundance of 80 tree species following climate change in the eastern United States. Ecol Monog 68:465–85.
- Kudish M. 2000. The Catskill forest: a history. Fleischmanns (NY): Purple Mountain Press.
- Lawrence GB, Lovett GM, Baevsky YH. 2000. Atmospheric deposition and watershed nitrogen export along an elevational gradient in the Catskill Mountains, New York. Biogeochemistry 50:21–43.
- Lewis GP, Likens GE. 2000. Low stream nitrate concentrations associated with oak forests on the Allegheny High Plateau of Pennsylvania. Water Resources Res 36:3091–4.
- Likens GE, Bormann FH, Johnson NM, Fisher DW, Pierce RS. 1970. The effect of forest cutting and herbicide treatment on nutrient budgets in the Hubbard Brook watershed-ecosystem. Ecol Monogr 40:23–47.
- Lovett GM, Rueth H. 1999. Soil nitrogen transformations in beech and maple stands along a nitrogen deposition gradient. Ecol Appl 9:1330–44.
- Lovett GM, Thompson AW, Anderson JB, Bowser JJ. 1999. Elevational patterns of sulfur deposition at a site in the in the Catskill Mountains, New York. Atmos Environ 33 617-24.
- Lovett GM, Weathers KC, Sobczak W. 2000. Nitrogen saturation and retention in forested watersheds of the Catskill Mountains, NY. Ecol Appl 10:73–84.
- McIntosh RP. 1962. The forest cover of the Catskill Mountain region, New York, as indicated by land survey records. Am Midl Nat 68:409–23.
- McNulty SG, Aber JD, Boone RD. 1991. Spatial changes in forest floor and foliar chemistry of spruce-fir forests across New England. Biogeochemistry 14:13–29.
- Melillo JM, Aber JD, Muratore JF. 1982. Nitrogen and lignin control of hardwood leaf litter decomposition dynamics. Ecology 63:621–6.
- Murdoch PS, Burns DA, Lawrence GB. 1998. Relation of climate change to acidification of surface waters by nitrogen deposition. Environ Sci Technol 32:1642–7.
- Murdoch PS, Stoddard JL. 1992. The role of nitrate in the acidification of streams in the Catskill Mountains of New York. Water Resources Res 28:2707–20.
- Riha SJ, Campbell GS, Wolfe J. 1986. A model of competition for ammonium among heterotrophs, nitrifiers and roots. Soil Sci Soc Am J 50:1463–6.
- Son Y, Gower ST. 1992. Nitrogen and phosphorus distribution for five plantation species in southwestern Wisconsin. For Ecol Manage 53:175–93.
- Stoddard JL. 1994. Long-term changes in watershed retention of nitrogen. In: Baker L, editor. Environmental chemistry of lakes and reservoirs. Advances in Chemistry Series, vol 237. Washington; (DC): American Chemical Society. p 223–84.
- Stoddard JL, Murdoch PS. 1991. Catskill Mountains. In: Charles D, editor. Acidic deposition and aquatic ecosystems: regional case studies. New York: Springer-Verlag. p 237–71.
- [USDA] US Department of Agriculture. 1999. Asian longhorned beetle (Anoplophora glabripenni): a new introduction. Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service Pest Alert NA-PR-01-99. Washington (DC): US Department of Agriculture. Available online at: http://www.na.fs.fed.us/spfo/alb
- Van Miegroet H, Cole DW, Foster NW. 1992 Nitrogen distribution and cycling. In: Johnson DW, Lindberg SE, editors. Atmospheric deposition and forest nutrient cycling, Ecological Studies; vol 91. New York: Springer-Verlag. p 178–96.
- Venterea RT, Lovett GM, Groffman PG, Schwarz PA. Landscape

patterns of net nitrification in a northern hardwood-conifer forest. Soil Sci Soc Am J (in press).

- Vitousek PM. 1977. The regulation of element concentrations in mountain streams in the northeastern United States. Ecol Monogr 47:65–87.
- Vitousek PM, Aber J, Howarth RW, Likens GE, Matson PA, Schindler DW, Schlesinger WH, Tilman GD. 1997. Human alteration of the global nitrogen cycle: causes and consequences. Ecol Appl 7:737–50.
- Weathers KC, Lovett GM, Likens GE, Lathrop R. 2000. The effects of landscape features on deposition to Hunter Mountain, Catskill Mountains, New York. Ecol Appl 10:528–40.
- Wedin DA, Tilman D. 1990. Species effects on nitrogen cycling: a test with perennial grasses. Oecologia 84:433–41.
- West AJ, Findlay SEG, Burns DA, Weathers KC, Lovett GM. 2001. Catchment-scale variation in the nitrate concentrations of groundwater seeps in the Catskill Mountains, New York, USA. Water Air Soil Pollut 132:389–400.
- Williard KWJ, DeWalle DR, Edwards PJ, Schnabel RR. 1997. Indicators of nitrate export from forested watersheds in the mid-Appalachians, United States of America. Global Biogeochem Cycles 11:649–56.