

Owner	County	Date	Tree No.	Arch Rating 2005	Starch Rating 2004	change
Sugarbush Green	Rutland	11/3/2005	1	low	depleted	better
			2	high	low	better
			3	medium	depleted	better
			4	high	medium	better
			5	high	low	better
			6	high	high	same
			7	high	high	worse
			8	high	depleted	better
			9	high	high	same
			10	high	medium	better
Raponet	Windsor	Not given	1	depleted	depleted	same
			2	depleted	medium	worse
			3	depleted	depleted	same
			4	depleted	medium	worse
			5	medium	depleted	better
			6	depleted	high	worse
			7	low	low	same
			8	medium	low	better
			9	depleted	medium	worse
			10	depleted	high	worse
Cooper-Hillis	Windham	11/2/2005	1	medium	medium	same
			2	depleted	medium	worse
			3	depleted	high	worse
			4	high	medium	better
			5	medium	medium	same
			6	depleted	medium	worse
			7	depleted	depleted	same
			8	depleted	depleted	same
			9	depleted	medium	worse
			10	depleted	low	worse
Perry	Rutland	11/3/2005	1	high	medium	better
			2	medium	high	worse
			3	high	high	same
			4	high	high	same
			5	high	medium	better
			6	high	medium	better
			7	high	medium	better
			8	medium	medium	same
			9	high	medium	better
			10	high	medium	better

B 7
S 2
W 1

B 2
S 3
W 5

B 1
S 4
W 5

B 6
S 3
W 1

* Note: There were no samples labeled as #5, but there were two labeled #6. One #6 matched the writing on samples 1-4, so I assigned it #5. The writing on the other #6 matched #7-10, so I assigned it #3.