

# Effects of Climate Change on Growth, Productivity, and Wood Properties of White Pine in Northern Forest Ecosystems

PI:

Ronald S. Zalesny Jr.

USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station

Institute for Applied Ecosystem Studies

5985 Highway K, Rhinelander, WI 54501, USA

Phone: (715) 362-132; Email: rzalesny@fs.fed.us

Co-PIs:

John Brissette, USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station

Sophan Chhin, Michigan State University, Department of Forestry

Steve Colombo, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (a)4(l)94(s)-1(t)-2(r)-17(y)]TJ 27.42

Funding support for this project was provided by the Northeastern States Research Cooperative (NSRC), a partnership of Northern Forest states (New Hampshire, Vermont, Maine, and New York), in coordination with the USDA Forest Service. <http://www.northernforest.org>





## Background and Justification

There is a need for long

## Methods

The proposed project was conducted at seven sites belonging to a wide international Union of Forestry Research Organizations (IUFRO) white pine study established in the early 1960s in the eastern United States and Canada (Fig. 1). In total, 13 white pine provenances were evaluated at each site, with the exception of the Orono trial that has 12 of them (Table 1). Field protocols for tree measurement and sampling were developed at the Ganaraska Forest, Ontario, Canada during October 2009. In addition, historic data from sites such as the Orono trial and those in Wisconsin and Michigan were assembled and used.

Table 1. Seed sources (provenances) to be used in the proposed study that belong to a rangewide IUFRO white pine study established in the early 1960's in the eastern United States and Canada.

Seed Source Number		Location of Origin	Latitude	Longitude
Canada	United States			
	1	Union County, Georgia	34°5′	84°0′
	2	Greene County, Tennessee	36°0′	82°5′
	3	Monroe County, Pennsylvania	41°1′	75°3′
	4	Franklin County, New York	44°3′	74°2′
	5	Penobscot County, Maine	44°5′	68°4′
	6	Ashland County, Ohio	40°5′	82°2′
	7	Allamakee County, Iowa	43°2′	91°2′
	8	Cass County, Minnesota	47°2′	94°3′
	9	Forest County, Wisconsin	45°5′	88°5′
	10	Lunenburg County, Nova Scotia	44°3′	64°4′
	11	Pontiac District, Quebec	47°3′	77°0′
	12	Algoma District, Ontario	46°1′	82°4′
	13 <sup>a</sup>	Newaygo County, Michigan	43°3′	85°4′

<sup>a</sup> Seed source was not established at Orono, Maine.

- x Height, diameter at breast height (dbh), and survival were recorded for each experimental tree located at each of seven sites (Wabeno, WI; Manistique, MI; Pine River, MI; Newaygo, MI; Turkey Point, ON; Ganaraska Forest, ON; Orono, ME)
- x Two wood cores were collected from each tree and permanently mounted and sanded to prepare them for radial growth trend analysis using standard dendrochronology procedures and xray densitometry (see below)
- x Scanned images of individual cores were processed with crossdating (COFECHA) and tree ring analysis (WinDENDRO, Regent Instruments, Quebec) software.
- x Mean tree ring width, mean annual basal area increment, and total tree ring basal area increment over the period 1980 to 2004 were estimated for each provenance.
- x Quantitative genetic and dendrochronological analyses were used to develop the universal response functions
- x X-ray densitometry was used to measure intra and inter-tree density

## Key Findings and Accomplishments

- x The universal response function for white pine height growth performed very well and indicated that it was sensitive to trial site and seed source temperature and precipitation and (Table 2).
- x The universal response function for white pine diameter growth indicated was affected both by trial site and seed source temperature and precipitation (Table 3).
- x Dendroclimatic analysis indicated that natural populations of white pine in Michigan were more responsive to the Climate Moisture Index (CMI) than temperature Wisconsin and in Canada (Turkey Point) white pine radial growth was more responsive to temperature than to CMI.
- x

Table 3. Multiple regression analysis predicting mean DBH growth of white pine from site and provenance climate in the form of a universal response function.

Independent Variable	Parameter Estimate	Partial R <sup>2</sup>	Model R <sup>2</sup>	F	P
Intercept	-22.024				
T_B11_TCOL_2	-0.0022	0.320	0.320	13.1948	0.0005
T_B05_MTWP_2	0.0095	0.147	0.467	17.3814	0.0001
T_B14_PDP_2	0.0006	0.049			

## Implications and Applications in the Northern Forest Region

- x For the dendroclimatic analysis of seed sources at each trial site location, the first principal component explained the most significant variation in growth which indicates that the regional climatic conditions exerts a generally uniform response in the seed sources at each trial site (Table 4). While the amount of variation in PC2 was not statistically significant, the explained variance is geographically and biologically meaningful (Table 4, Figure 2).
- x In the Wabeno (WI) trial site location, PC1 was significantly associated with seed source elevation and PC2 was associated to seed source longitude. For both Turkey Point (ON) and Orono (ME) sites, PC1 was associated to seed source elevation and PC2 was associated to seed source longitude.



Figure 2. Relationships between the first (PC1) and second (PC2) principal component axis of white pine radial growth of seed sources at each trial site location and monthly and seasonal a) mean temperature and b) Climate Moisture Index examined via multiple regression analysis. For

## References

- Alexander, R.M., R. Perschel. 2009. A review of forestry mitigation and adaptation strategies in the Northeast US. *Climate Change* 96:167-183.
- Genys, J.B. 1987. Provenance variation among different provenances of *Pinus strobus* in Canada and the United States. *Can. J. For. Res.* 17:3528-3535.
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). 2007. *Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report. Summary for Policymakers. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.* Core Writing Team, Pachauri, R.K. and Reisinger, A. (Eds.). IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland. pp 104.
- Iverson, L.R., A.M. Prasad, S.N. Matthews, M. Peters. 2008. Estimating potential habitat for 134 eastern U.S. tree species under six climate scenarios. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 256:390-400.
- McKenney, D.W., J.H. Pedlar, K. Lawrence, K. Campbell, M.F. Hutchinson. 2007. Potential impacts of climate change on the distribution of North American tree species. *Conserv. Biol.* 21:939-948.
- McLachlan, J.S., J.S. Clark, P.S. Manos. 2005. Molecular indicators of tree migration capacity under rapid climate change. *Ecology* 86:2088-2098.
- Mickler, R.A., R.A. Birdsey, J. Hom. 2000. *Responses of northern U.S. forests to environmental change.* Springer, NY. 578 p.
- Millar, C.I., N.L. Stephenson, S.L. Stephens. 2007. Climate change and forests of the future: managing in the face of uncertainty. *Ecol. Appl.* 17:2308-2315.
- Parmesan, C., G. Yohe. 2003. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature* 421:39-43.
- Rehfeldt, G.E., C.C. Ying, D.L. Spittlehouse, D. Hamilton, Jr. 1999. Genetic responses to climate change in *Pinus contorta*: nice breadth, climate change and reforestation. *Ecol. Monogr.* 69:373-407.
- Wang, T., A. Hamman, A. Yanchuk, G.A. O'Neill, S.N. Aitken. 2006. Use of response functions in selecting lodgepole pine populations for future climates. *Global Change Biol.* 12:2164-2174.
- Wendel, G.W., H.C. Smith. 1990. *Pinus strobus* Eastern White Pine. In: Burns, R.M., B.H. Honkala (eds.). *Silvics of North America: Volume 1, Conifers.* Agricultural Handbook 654. USDA Forest Service, Washington, DC. p. 473-483.

# Products

## Papers in Progress

Growth response functions of provenance trials under past and future climate

Dendroclimatic analysis of white pine natural forests under past and future climate

Dendroclimatic analysis of white pine provenance trials under past and future climate

## Refereed Journal Publications

Zalesny, R.S. Jr., and Headlee, W.L. 2015. Developing woody crops for the enhancement of ecosystem services under changing climates in the North Central United States. *Journal of Forest and Experimental Science* 31:7890.

## Invited Papers and Presentations

Zalesny, R.S. Jr., and Headlee, W.L. 2014. Developing woody crops for the enhancement of ecosystem services under changing climates in the North Central United States. In: *International Symposium on Tree Breeding Strategies to Cope with Climate Change*; September 20-24, 2014; Suwon, Republic of Korea (Invited Oral Presentation with Refereed Proceedings)

## Offered Papers and Presentations

Parker, W.C. 2014. Forest ecosystem vulnerability in the Great Lakes basin of Ontario. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry Climate Change Symposium, November 24, 2014, Peterborough, Ontario. (Oral Presentation)

Parker, W.C. 2014. Presentation field tour of the Ganaraska, ON white pine provenance trial site, November 23, 2014. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry Climate Change Symposium, November 24, 2014, Peterborough, Ontario. (Oral Presentation)

Zalesny, R.S. Jr., and Headlee, W.L. 2014. Comparing aboveground stem carbon storage potential of intensively managed poplar with plantation-grown eastern white pine in the North Central United States. In: *International Poplar Symposium VI*; July 22-24, 2014; Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada (Poster Presentation and Published Abstract). Also presented as: Zalesny, R.S. Jr., Headlee, W.L., Bauer, E.O., Birr, B.A., Hall, R.B., Parker, B., and Wiese, A.H. 2014. Contrasting ecosystem services of hybrid poplar and white pine in the Midwest, USA. In: *10<sup>th</sup> Biennial Conference of the Short Rotation Woody Crops Operations Working Group*; July 17-19, 2014; Seattle, WA, USA (Poster Presentation and Published Abstract)

Zalesny, R.S. Jr., Bauer, E.O., Birr, B.A., Brissette, J., Colombo, S., Froese, R.E., Groom, L.,

Populus and Pinus in North America. In: 9<sup>th</sup> Biennial Conference of the Short Rotation Woody