2023 Conventional Soybean Variety Trial

Dr. Heather Darby JVM ExtensionAgronomist Ivy Krezinski and Sara Ziegler



© November 2023, University of Vermont Extension

2023 CONVENTIONAL SOYBEAN VARIETY TRIAL Dr. Heather Darby, University of Vermont Extension <u>heather.darby[at]uvm.edu</u>

In 2023, the University of Vermont Extension both westCrops and Soils Team evaluated yield and the of short season begrown for human consumption, animal feed, and biodies eduction As farmers look to reduce feed costs or diversify markets, soybean acreage across Vermont is increasing. Local research is needed to identify varieties that are best adapted to this region an effort to support and expand the local soybean market throughout the northeast, the University of Vermont Extension Northwest Crop and Soils (NWCS) Program, as part of a grant from the Eastern Soybean Board, established 2023 to evaluate yield and quality of soybean varieties for the region

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Four seed companies subtread varieties for evaluation (Table 11) wenty-nine soybean varieties were evaluated from maturity group \$0, 0, 1, and 2 Details for the varieties including company energy energy and relative maturity are listed in Table.2

Asgrow Seed Co., LLC	Brevant	Nutrien Ag Solutions	Seedway, LLC
Nathan Holt	Claude Fortin	Tom Barber	Rachel Tomko
Bayer Crop Science	St. Albans, VT 05478	East Aurora, NY	Bomoseen, VT 05732
Canandaigua, NY	802-363-2803	(716) 9125494	(802)338-6930

Table 1. Participating companies and contact information.

The soil typeat the Alburgh VT location was Benson rocky silt loam, over shaly limestone,5% slopes (Table 3. The seedbed was prepared usingottinger Terra Disc prior to seedingoybeans were planted at a seeding rate **08**5,000 seedac¹ on 26-May with a 4row cone planter with John Deere row units fitted with Almaco seed distribution units (Nevada, JR) ots were 20eetlong and consisted of two rows spaced at 30 inchesThe plot design was a randomized complete block **foith** replicationsand thetreatments were 29 varieties that ranged imaturity group from 0.9 to 2.2 Plots were monitored for disease pressure throughout the seaspand on 16-Aug, plots were assessed reservity of infection with **d**wny mildew (*Peronospora manshurica*), bacterial blight (*Pseudomonas syringae pv. glycinea*), Septoriabrown spot (*Septoria glycines*), frogeye leaf spot(*ercospora sojina*), Cercospora leaf blighC(*ercospora kikuchii*), and anthracnoseC(*olletotrichum truncatum*). Assessments were made by inspecting each plot and assigning a rating (010), where 0 equated to damage/infection not present@equated to infection or damage present on 100% of leaf are@n 10-Oct, the soybeans were harvested using@maco SPC50rsall plotcombine, and @ed was cleaned with a small Clipper M2B ctera(A.T. Ferrell, Bluffton, IN). They were then weighed for plot yield and tested for harvest moisture and test weight using a DICMERY Mini-GAC Plus moisture and test weight meter.

Table 2. Soybean varieties evaluated in Alburgh, VT, 2023.							
Company	Variety	Traits	Maturity				
Brevant	B0090EE	ENLIST E3	0.9				
Seedway, LLC	SG0643XTF	XtendFlex	0.6				
Seedway, LLC	SG 0720XT	RR2X	0.7				
Asgrow	AG07XF4	XtendFlex	0.7				
Brevant	B091EE	ENLIST E3	0.9				
Seedway, LLC	SG 1023E3	ENLIST E3	1.0				
Seedway, LLC	SG 1077	RR2X	1.0				
Asgrow	AG10XF4	XtendFlex	1.0				
Seedway, LLC	SG 1143XTF	XtendFlex	1.1				
Brevant							

Table 2. Soybean varieties evaluated in Alburgh, VT, 2023.

Table 3. Soybean trial specifics for Alburgh, VT, 2023.

1.5 to 20 inches per event.

Company	Variety	Relative maturity	Harvest moisture	Test weight	Yield @ 13% moisture	
			%	lbs bu ¹	lbs ac1	bu ac¹
Brevant	B0090EE	00.9	14.3*	56.2*	3179	53.0

 Table 5. Harvest characteristics of soybean varieties – Alburgh, VT, 2023.

Table 6. Disease

DISCUSSION

The 2023soybeangrowing season washallengingdue to a number of unfavorable weather conditions. Lack of precipitation in May resulted in a very dry seed bed at the time of soybean plotting temperatures persisted from May through AugEistcessiverainfall, however, was the biggest challenge during this season buy alone, Alburgh, VT received 20 nches of rainMild downy mildew and frogeye leaf spotinfections were commodue to the cool and wet conditions there were few varieties of soybeans that adparticularly greater severity ratings compared to the Section 300 OT20XT had statistically highed owny mildew infection than all other varieties. And frogeye leaf ispection was statistically highest inAG07XF4 and S14XF43 Other diseases like bacterial blight, anthracnose, Cercospora leaf blight, and Septoria brown specte present but overall severity was low.

Even with the poor weather conditions yeall soybean yields were good with a trial average 801 lbs or 63.4 bu ac. Soybean yields were 73lb or 78bu ac lower this year compared to 2022 he average moisture at harvest was 14.6% little additionallying was needed to attain a safe storage temperature. The average test weight was lbsbu¹, which isless than the industry standard of 169 bu¹. AG22XF3 had the highest yield, but eleven varieties performed statistically sintil has to performing varieties ranged in maturity from 0.9 to 2.2 hese results suggest the overall soybean yields can be achieved from varieties within a range of relative maturities, 1, and 2) under conventional management in northern Vermont. Although it is important too te thatsix of the twelve top performing arieties had a relative maturity of 1.8 to 22, and only one had a relatime turity of less than .1 Also, some of the earliest maturing varieties (00.9 to 0.7) had some of the lowest yields in this year's trial.

Figure 1 below summarizes soybean yields by tyaciempared to the trial averaged data are organized in order of relative maturityEnvironmental stressuringthe reproductivestages of development can result in reduced soybean yieldslants are particularlyusceptibleespecially during pod formation and seed fill Depending on the variety, poidrmation occurs around lateuly through midAugust and seed fill from aroundearly-August to midSeptemberIt is possible that the very early maturing varieties more vulnerable to the excessive rain and cool temperatures and August compared to later maturing varieties that did not reach those growth stages used performed when conditions were warmer and drier. Plant lodging later in the seasobecause of saturated soils and can alsdead to decreased yields especially inthose early maturing varieties is important to remember that these data only represent one year atone location and therefore should not solely be used to make management decisions. Figure 1. Soybean yields by variety