James M. Jeffords Center's Vermont Legislative Research Service

1 (For a detailed study of the state of public transportation in Vermont see the Rockefeller Centers Refere: http://rockefeller.dartmouth.edu/shop/PRS%20Policy%20Brief%20@80pdf) Interstate bussing, airport flights and Amtrak's daily rail service connect Vermont with surround tages and Canada Within the state, mass transit is highly fragmented, with 26 regional transit agencies that represent the 126 bunties of Vermont addition there are 27 park & ide

Several states have begun to look at intermodal transport as the focal point of an integrated transit system as a way to adequately serve a growing population. Currently, Vermont relies heavily on park ride structures to acilitate intermodal passeger transportation. These facilities assimilate all existing modes of transit (bike, car, ferry, plane, and traing) have the potential to cut costs and improve efficiency, streamlining travel for passen gets pite these efforts across different systes, however, there are still many billity deserts regions devoid or lacking in route and connections. Vorking to connecthese areas it final step towards achieving a truly integrated system.

Vermont's uneven distribution of population poses another barrier to an integrated, seamless, transit system⁶ Despite a few highdensity areas, 66% of the population lives in rural areas, according to the 2010 census Public transit fails to provide adequate coverage for a large portion of the population Although recent years have seen an increase in services and routes, irregularities and deficiencies pose significant problems

Attempts have been made to simplify and uniformont's fragmented transportation system. Regional agencies have expanded their routes to encompass new areas. "Go Vermont" is the state's attempt to unify the transportation system. It is a service that provides Vermonters with comprehensive information regarding from transit. It is "a resource for commuters who want to reduce the cost and environmental impact of driving the program aims to unify the fragmented transportation system of Vermont to provide users a seamless commute using intermodal transportation 10

DowntownBurlington, Vermontallows free access on one topic Chittenden County
Transportation Agency (CCTrA) tes—the College Street Shuttle. Burlington's free access is
limited to one line of the bus route where Resrtland, Oregon providesee accesson multiple
lines. Free access inclusive of multipleorms of transportation an act that likely increases
ridership

⁴RSG Inc., "Upper Valley Intermodal Transportation Facility Study," Report Prepared for The Upper Valley Lake Sunapee Regional Planning Commission and the New Hampshire Deptar Transportation, June, 2010, accessed February 7, 20 12tp://uvinter.files.wordpress.com/2009/07/uppervalley-intermodal-facility-draft-report.pdf.

⁵ Vonu Thakuriah, Yaye Malloamd William Vassilakis Next Generation Intermodal Passenger Transportation System: Phase I," accessed February 7, 2012, http://tigger.uic.edu/-pw/intermodal.html.

⁶ Kahlie Dufresne et al., "Public Transportation in Vermont."

⁷ United States Department of Agriculture, "State Fact Sheet: Vermont," accessed January 29, 2012, http://www.ers.usdagov/StateFacts/vt.HTM

⁸ Kahlie Dufresne et al., "Public Transportation in Vermont."

⁹ Vermont Agency of Transportation, "Go Vermont: Connecting Commuters in Vermont," accessed January 29, 2012, http://www.connectingcommuters.org/

¹⁰ Kahlie Dufresne et al., "Public Transportation in Vermont."

state."¹⁹ Another mechanism would be for the Governor to issue an executive order to create a coordination committee for the state that can facilitate "flexible and responsive transportation solutions among service providers"."Even informal agements between transportation agencies and organizations have shown to sometimes evolve into legislative of statutory mandates.²¹

Public Transportation in Other States

Arkansas

The Arkansas Public Transportation Coordinating Cb(ARTCQ) ndthe Arkansas Department of Human Services (DHS) joined together and worked towdervisloping plans to increase coordination, integrationand the overall efficiency of transportation systems within the state of Arkansas² Their intentions were to improve the public's overall quality of life by increasing access to social service programs in the stateeir projects include "coordination of rural and small urban area services in an area having high need but no existing providers" and leptopan of the scope of an existing agency to provide general public transportation Arkansas has taken a collaborative approach to streamlining transportation across counties using what they call Metroplan. It currently represents 25 different municipies spread out across

of existing vehicles in community programs through shared use programsile minimizing overlap in routes and amount of money required to achieve ideal outconness project has significantly increased public transportation use and members of the COIC have indicated that they would like to establish need, aimplement more of these projects around the state. Portland, a cityhighly regarded as one of our nation's leaders in public transit, features a "Free RailZone" within downtown that allows free access to multiple forms of public transit such as the light rail system ("TriMet") and streetcars (Portland Streetcanness). Portland, the use of public transportation is growing faster than auto use, as "84% of sicheoseTriMet over driving" and "Commutes are 20% shorter."

Wisconsin

The Wisconsin Employment Transportation Assistance Program (Watern&R) rid transportation barriers that limit lowincome citizens from getting to their jobs or advancing their careers to something that pays better. This project is locally coentrated and coordinated. Local communities are encouraged to determine and overcome their transportation needs using federal funding that allows them to implement successful transportation systems. In sum, this rural state has put pressure on its local communities to take advantage of their resources and federal funding to achieve the best possible public transportation system for its lowincome citizens.

Conclusion

Many rural states have achieved virtually earnless transportation systems by assessing the places of need for such implementation and concentrating on using federal and state funding to integrate systems to create the most efficient public transportation systemile Vermont does not have a seamless public transportation system currently in place, these states act as a model for what a rural state like Vermont could eate to achieve such a goal.

This report was completed on Februals, 2012by Isaac Moche, Alexand Raymond, and Aaron Haightunder the supervision of graduate student Kate Fournier and Professor Anthony Gierzynskin response to a request from Representat Bill Aswad

Contact: Professor Anthony Gierzynski, 513 Oiki The University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405, phone 802-656-7973, email agierzyn@uvm.edu

Disclaimer: This report has been compiled by undergraduate students at the University of Vermont under the supervision of Professor Anthony Gierzynski. The material contained in the report does not reflect the official policy of the University of Vermont.