

http://www.uvm.edu/~vlrs/IRVassessment.pdf. The analysis Gierzynski produced simply examines the claims of advocates of IRV, mainly those of the advocacy group FairVote, and finds that *their* claims are problematic when confronting the reality of elections. Gierzynski's assessment finds that IRV does not result in better outcomes than the current system; the authors of the "IRV Fact Check" posts reframe this as being one in which Gierzynski argues that the current single vote system is superior, which is not what Gierzynski is claiming. The authors of the blog posts (particularly FairVote's Terry Bouricius) then use this twisted version of Gierzynski's argument to dismiss the findings that IRV does not always produce winners supported by the majority. Additionally, the critiques of Gierzynski's assessment fail to directly address or effectively counter the reasoning and evidence at the heart of Gierzynski's analysis of IRV. Readers can judge for themselves by reading Gierzynski's assessment at <http://www.uvm.edu/~vlrs/IRVassessment.pdf>.

Readers may also wish to check out Gierzynski's broader argument about the U.S. electoral system in in general in <http://www.uvm.edu/~vlrs/IRVassessment.pdf>.

IRV written by Gierzynski for themselves by reading it at <http://www.uvm.edu/~vlrs/IRVassessment.pdf>.