## The Vermont Legislative Research Service

Contact:ProfessorJack (Anthon)/Gierzynski 517 Old Mill, Burlington, VT 05405-4110, Telephone (802) 656-7973, Fax (802) 656-0758 http://www.uvm.edu/~vlrs/ xE-mail:Anthony.Gierzynski@uvm.edu The two nutrients of concern are nitrogen and **p**osphorus. The announcement states that these nutrients come from a variety of sources, "including legacy contribution**fs**om years past."

<sup>1</sup> This means that these nutrients are not only currently entering waterways in but also that contributions from years past areproducing the high levels seen today

Lake Champlain hashigh concentrations of phosphorus These high concentrations are negatively affecting the lake by damaging ecosystems and causing algae blooms.recent years, Vermont has been actively trying to reduce phosphorus concentrations in the lake. This has historically been expensive and difficult to dowhich is why there is currently an investigation into whether there is potential to commercialize these nutrients profit. Phosphorus is a finite material and the easily accessible phosphorus reserves being depleted. As the easily accessible upply of phosphorus in the world decreases, the price of phosphorus will likely increase in the marketmaking these commercialization techniques more profitable.<sup>2</sup>

Phosphorus has the greatest potential for commercialization due to various uses ahigh concentrations in Lake Champlain. Through a commercialized phosphorus removal process, it may be possible profit from the reduction of phosphorus concentrations of both water in the lake and water flowing into the lake. New technologies are being developed that have the potential to achieve this goal. Some of these technologies are: hydroponic farming, organic systems (biochar and algae filtration systems), nano technology, andimproved wastewater treatment facilities.

https://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2014/12/17/innovating -protect-our-waterways.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tamara Dickinson, "Innovating to Protect our Waterways," White House Office of ence and Technology Policy, December 17, 2014, accessed pril 3, 2015,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Michigan State University, "New method to remove phosphorus from wastewater," ScienceDaily, August 15, 2012, accessed April, 10, 2015; //www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/08/120815112243.htm\_.

Phosphorus entersLakeChamplainlargely through "nonpoint sources," which are generated by runoff and erosion across the landscape, as opposed to "point sources" which can be wastewatertreatment facilities and storm water discharges that are conveyed by a pipe.<sup>3</sup> Relative to nonpoint sources, **p**int sources are easy to regulate, because the water is being stored or transferred within a constructed system

Since 2007 phosphorus levels havebeen stableor slightly increasing, with nine of the thirteen segments of the lake (Figure 1) exceeding established targets for phosphorus concentration levels 2010. Flooding in 2011 caused a large spike phosphorus concentration levels-the largest spike since 1990 in certain parts of the lake. The greatest increases in phosphorus level in the last five years were in the Main Lake, Burlington Bay, and Missisquoi Bay. Many other areas of the lake were alaffected and exceeded their annual targets.

## Current Actions by Vermont

Vermont issuedits first TMDL (Total Maximal Daily Load) for phosphorus in Lake Champlain to the EPA in 2002TMDL is a measurement of how much of a certain nutrient can flow into a body of water each day, while maintaining water quality standards forhat body of water. A TMDL provides the state with a measurable goal phosphorus loading, aro 02 Tw [(0)3(f th)9(e l)3(ak)1(e ()]TJ -26.87 -1 0 Td

Figure 1: Phosphorus Target Levels and Actual Levels the

New Hampshire Experiment

In the 1980s, the EPA deemed Kezar Lake in New Hampshire "the highest priority for restoration" in the state. The treatment decided upon by the EPA was a combination of wetlands management and aluminum salt injections on the lake bottom. The aluminum salt (a 2:1 ratio of ALSQ : NaAlQ) was shown to be effective at deactivating posphorus in a test plot of the lake. This result led to a larger portion of the lake receiving treatment. The lake was then monitored for 4 years. The levels at the conclusion of that 4-year watch period, however, were more stable and safe for recreation? The other aspect of this project was "wetlands manipulation." This part of the project involved raising the water levels in Chadwick Meadows, an area around Lion Brook, a tributary to Kezar Lake. The central idea behind this wetland manipulation was that "macrophytic nutrient uptake and sedimentation of suspended particulates" would be encouraged by the plant life. This piece of the overall project did notgreatly affect the phosphorus load in the lake. Nevertheless, it was fairly inexpensive, and helped to create wetland habitat and promote some sedimentation.

Figure 2: Clear as Water publication displaying input-output of their process

precipitate struvite, magnesium ammonium ph**s**phate.<sup>16</sup> The process is conducte**i**th wastewater treatment plants (point sources), where water mdecules are split with and separated fromphosphorous molecule. Thisprocess uses no chemicals and researchestay that it does not use much energy The end result of the process is phosphorous crystals that can be used **a** fertilizers.<sup>17</sup> There is a stigma associated with using sludge, which is human waste.

## Conclusion

There are a number of ways that phosphorus levels in Lake Champlain are already being reduced. The research shows that there is potential for commercialization, bthe technology needs further development. The majority of the research pertains to decreasing levels of phosphorus entering the lakes via point sources. Discovering more coeffective methods of removing phosphorus from wastewater facilities could increase profit margins for firms and also mitigate costs through sale of byproductsHydroponic farming has potential, however, its scalability remains in question. Algae filtration systems are another method of phosphorus extraction andmarkets for byproducts appear to be profitable. Research is still necessary to determine more effective methods phosphorus removal. As a result, there are remainingquestions of the cost of extraction, creation of end products and profitability of these products in the marketplace. As global phosphorus reserves continue to be depleted and more phosphorus enters Lake Champlathere could be increased incentives in the near future for the commercialization of legacy nutrients in Lake Champlain. A final question researchas not yet touched on is the total net effect on the environment and how EPA regulation will influence the implementation of these technologies.

This report was completed on May 10, 2015 bBecka Brolinson, Matthew Donovan and Jonathan Gonin under the supervision of Professors Jack Gierzynski, Robert Bartlett and Eileen Burgin in response to a request from Representative Sheldon.

Contact: Professor Anthony Gierzynski, 571Old Mill, The University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405, phone 802-656-7973, email<u>agierzyn@uvm.ed</u>u

Disclaimer: This report has been compiled by undergraduate students at the University of Vermont under the supervision of Professor Anthony Jack Gierzynsk Professor Robert Bartletand Professor Eileen Burgin The material contained in the report does not reflect the official policy of the University of Vermont.

t53(n)1he(e,)-5(o)-5b-2TGenr (f)-39g n(f)h J